

CLASSIFICATION OF 4-DIMENSIONAL NILPOTENT COMPLEX LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS.

S.ALBEVERIO, B.A. OMIROV, AND I.S.RAKHIMOV

ABSTRACT. The Leibniz algebras appeared as a generalization of the Lie algebras. In this work we deal with the classification of nilpotent complex Leibniz algebras of low dimensions. Namely, the classification of nilpotent complex Leibniz algebras dimensions less than 3 is extended to the dimension four.

AMS Subject Classifications: 16D70, 17A30, 17A60, 17B30

Key words: Leibniz algebra, associative algebra, nilpotence, nultiform Leibniz algebra, filiform Leibniz algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [8], [9] several classes of new algebras were introduced. Some of them have two generating operations and they are called dialgebras. The first motivation to introduce such algebraic structures was problems in algebraic K-theory and they are related with well known Lie and associative algebras.

The categories of these algebras over their operads assemble into the commutative diagram which reflects the Koszul duality of those categories. The aim the present paper is to study structural properties of one class of Loday's list, namely so called Leibniz algebras.

Leibniz algebras present a "non commutative" (to be more precise, a "non anti-symmetric") analogue of Lie algebras and they were introduced by J.-L.Loday [8], as algebras which satisfy the following identity:

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y].$$

They appeared to be related in a natural way to several topics such as differential geometry, homological algebra, classic algebraic topology, algebraic K-theory, loop spaces, noncommutative geometry, etc.

Most papers concerning Leibniz algebras are devoted to the study of homological problems [5], [6], [11] and others. The structure theory of Leibniz algebras mostly remains unexplored and the extension of notions like simple and semisimple or radical to Leibniz algebras have not been discussed.

Some structural results concerning nilpotency, classification of low dimensional Leibniz algebras and related problems were considered in [1], [2], [3], [4]. For similar structural studies of Lie algebras see [7].

The classification up to isomorphism of any class of algebras is a fundamental and very difficult problem. It is one of the first problems that one encounters when trying to understanding the structure of a member of this class of algebras. In this paper we are going to present the classification of complex nilpotent Leibniz algebras of dimension four. From the geometrical point of view the classification of a class of algebras corresponds to a fibration of this class, the fiber being the isomorphic classes. We will give representatives of each isomorphism class for 4-dimensional

nilpotent complex Leibniz algebras. By the classification here we mean the algebraic classification, i.e. the determination of the types of isomorphic algebras, whereas geometric classification is the problem of finding generic structural constants in the sense of algebraic geometry. But the geometric classification presupposes the algebraic classification. Actually it is a prelude of our paper [2], where the geometric classification problems of low dimensional complex nilpotent Leibniz algebras were discussed.

We restrict our discussion to nilpotent Leibniz algebras of dimension four since all Leibniz algebras of dimension less than four already have been classified in [3], [7], [8].

Definition 1.1. An algebra L over a field F is said to be a Leibniz algebra if it satisfies the following Leibniz identity:

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y],$$

where $[,]$ denotes the multiplication in L .

Remark 1.2. If a Leibniz algebra has the additional property of antisymmetry $[x, x] = 0$ then the Leibniz identity can be easily reduced to the Jacobi identity:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0.$$

Therefore a Leibniz algebra is a generalization of well known Lie algebras.

Another generalization of Lie algebras was given by A.Mal'tzev [12]. They are called Mal'tzev algebras and satisfy the following two identities:

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= -[y, x], \\ [[[x, y], z], x] + [[[y, z], x], x] + [[[z, x], x], y] &= [[x, y], [x, z]]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the intersection of the varieties of Leibniz algebras and Mal'tzev algebras coincides exactly with the variety of Lie algebras.

All algebras considered in this paper suppose to be defined over the field of complex numbers.

2. NILPOTENT LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS.

In this section we resume some results on nilpotent Leibniz algebras. The concept of nilpotency in the case of Leibniz algebras can be defined the similar manner like in Lie algebras case.

Let L be a complex Leibniz algebra. We put:

$$L^1 = L, L^{k+1} = [L^k, L], \quad k \in N.$$

Definition 2.1. A Leibniz algebra L is said to be nilpotent if there exists a natural $s \in N$, such that $L^s = 0$.

Definition 2.2. An n -dimensional Leibniz algebra L is said to be nulfiliform if $\dim L^i = n - i + 1$, where $2 \leq i \leq n + 1$.

Definition 2.3. An n -dimensional Leibniz algebra L is said to be filiform if $\dim L^i = n - i$, where $2 \leq i \leq n$.

For a given n -dimensional nilpotent Leibniz algebra L we define the following isomorphism invariant:

$$\chi(L) = (\dim L^1, \dim L^2, \dots, \dim L^{n-1}, \dim L^n)$$

It is evident that

$$\dim L^1 > \dim L^2 > \dots > \dim L^k > \dots$$

The following result can be easily proved.

Proposition 2.4. *If for an n -dimensional Leibniz algebra L the first two coordinates of the invariant $\chi(L)$ are equal to n and $n-1$, respectively, then L is a nulfiliform Leibniz algebra.*

Let L be an associative algebra over the complex numbers C and let A be the associative algebra obtained from L by the external joining of a unit, i.e. $A = L \oplus C$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let L be a finite dimensional nilpotent associative algebra. Then the algebra $A = L \oplus C$ does not contain any nontrivial idempotents.*

Proof. Let $a \neq 0$ be an element of L and $1 + a$ be an idempotent of $A = L + C$. Let m be the index of nilpotence of a . It is evident that $1 + a = (1 + a)^n$ for any $n \in N$. But $(1 + a)^n = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n C_n^k a^k$ implies $a = na + \sum_{k=2}^n C_n^k a^k$, and multiplying both sides of this equality by a^{m-2} we obtain $(n-1)a = 0$, that shows $a = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *Let L be a finite dimensional Leibniz algebra with $L^3 = 0$. Then the algebra $A = L \oplus C$ has no nontrivial idempotents.*

Proposition 2.7. *Let L_1 and L_2 be finite dimensional associative algebras without unit. Then $L_1 \oplus C \cong L_2 \oplus C$ if and only if $L_1 \cong L_2$.*

Proposition 2.8. [4]. *Up to isomorphism, there is only one n -dimensional non Lie nulfiliform Leibniz algebra. It can be given by the following table of multiplications:*

$$[e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis of L and omitted products are equal to zero.

Proposition 2.9. [4]. *Any $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex non Lie filiform Leibniz algebra is isomorphic to one of the following two non Lie filiform Leibniz algebras:*

$$\mu_1^{\overline{\alpha}, \theta} : [e_0, e_0] = e_2, \quad [e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1),$$

$$[e_0, e_1] = \alpha_3 e_3 + \alpha_4 e_4 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} e_{n-1} + \theta e_n,$$

$$[e_i, e_1] = \alpha_3 e_{i+2} + \alpha_4 e_{i+3} + \dots + \alpha_{n+1-i} e_n \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2)$$

and

$$\mu_2^{\overline{\beta}, \gamma} : [e_0, e_0] = e_2, \quad [e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1} \quad (2 \leq i \leq n-1),$$

$$[e_0, e_1] = \beta_3 e_3 + \beta_4 e_4 + \dots + \beta_n e_n, \quad [e_1, e_1] = \gamma e_n,$$

$$[e_i, e_1] = \beta_3 e_{i+2} + \beta_4 e_{i+3} + \dots + \beta_{n+1-i} e_n \quad (2 \leq i \leq n-2),$$

where $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis of L and omitted products are equal to zero.

Note that the algebras $\mu_1^{\overline{\alpha}, \theta}$ and $\mu_2^{\overline{\beta}, \gamma}$ are not isomorphic for any parameters $\alpha_i, \theta, \beta_j, \gamma$.

3. DESCRIPTION OF 4-DIMENSIONAL NILPOTENT COMPLEX LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS.

In this section we are going to classify four-dimensional nilpotent complex Leibniz algebras. Here we shall use the classification of unital associative algebras of dimension five [10]. Namely, from that classification by some restrictions we will select some Leibniz algebras in our list. Moreover, the description of nul and filiform Leibniz algebras [4] is used as well.

Proposition 3.1. *Any 4-dimensional nilpotent complex Leibniz algebra L belongs to one of the following types of algebras:*

- (i) *nulfiliform Leibniz algebras, that is $\chi(L) = (4, 3, 2, 1)$*
- (ii) *filiform Leibniz algebras, that is $\chi(L) = (4, 2, 1, 0)$*
- (iii) *associative algebras, with $\chi(L) := (4, 2, 0, 0)$ or $(4, 1, 0, 0)$.*
- (iv) *abelian, that is $\chi(L) = (4, 0, 0, 0)$.*

Proof. Let us consider all possible cases for $\chi(L)$:

If $\chi(L) = (4, 3, 2, 1)$, then in this case L is nulfiliform (see proposition 2.8).

If $\chi(L) = (4, 2, 1, 0)$, then in this case L is filiform (see proposition 2.9).

It is clear that in the cases when $\chi(L)$ is equal to $(4, 2, 0, 0)$ and $(4, 1, 0, 0)$ respectively, then the algebra L is associative.

In case $\chi(L) = (4, 0, 0, 0)$ we have abelian algebra.

It is easy to see that proposition 2.4 implies that the cases $\chi(L) := (4, 3, 2, 0)$, $(4, 3, 1, 0)$ and $(4, 3, 0, 0)$ are not possible. $\square$

Henceforth as a matter of convenience we assume that the undefined multiplications are zero, we do not consider neither abelian algebras, nor Lie algebras and split Leibniz algebras i.e. we do not consider Leibniz algebras which are direct sums of proper ideals.

Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be a basis of L .

Theorem 3.2. *Up to isomorphism, there exist five one parametric families and twelve concrete representatives of nilpotent Leibniz algebras of dimension four, namely:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_1 : [e_1, e_1] &= e_2, [e_2, e_1] = e_3, [e_3, e_1] = e_4; \\
\mathfrak{R}_2 : [e_1, e_1] &= e_3, [e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_2, e_1] = e_3, [e_3, e_1] = e_4; \\
\mathfrak{R}_3 : [e_1, e_1] &= e_3, [e_2, e_1] = e_3, [e_3, e_1] = e_4; \\
\mathfrak{R}_4(\alpha) : [e_1, e_1] &= e_3, [e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_4, [e_2, e_1] = e_3, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4, \quad \alpha \in \{0, 1\}; \\
\mathfrak{R}_5 : [e_1, e_1] &= e_3, [e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4; \\
\mathfrak{R}_6 : [e_1, e_1] &= e_3, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4; \\
\mathfrak{R}_7 : [e_1, e_1] &= e_4, [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_1] = -e_3, [e_2, e_2] = -2e_3 + e_4 \\
\mathfrak{R}_8 : [e_1, e_2] &= e_3, [e_2, e_1] = e_4, [e_2, e_2] = -e_3; \\
\mathfrak{R}_9(\alpha) : [e_1, e_1] &= e_3, [e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -\alpha e_3, [e_2, e_2] = -e_4, \quad \alpha \in C; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{10}(\alpha) : [e_1, e_1] &= e_4, [e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -\alpha e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_3] = e_4, \quad \alpha \in C; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{11} : [e_1, e_2] &= e_4, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{12} : [e_1, e_1] &= e_4, [e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -e_4, [e_3, e_3] = e_4; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{13} : [e_1, e_2] &= e_3, [e_2, e_1] = e_4; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{14} : [e_1, e_2] &= e_3, [e_2, e_1] = -e_3, [e_2, e_2] = e_4; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{15} : [e_2, e_1] &= e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_3;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{R}_{16}(\alpha) : [e_1, e_2] &= e_4, [e_2, e_1] = (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \alpha)e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_3, \quad \alpha \in C \setminus \{1\}; \\ \mathfrak{R}_{17} : [e_1, e_2] &= e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -e_4, [e_3, e_3] = e_4.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By proposition 3.1 any 4-dimensional nilpotent complex Leibniz algebra is either nulfiliform or filiform or associative. Let us consider each of these classes separately.

Let L be a nulfiliform. Then by proposition 2.8 there is only one nulfiliform Leibniz algebra and it can be given by the table:

$$[e_1, e_1] = e_2, [e_2, e_1] = e_3, [e_3, e_1] = e_4.$$

Thus, in this case we have the algebra $\mathfrak{R}_1$ in our list.

Next let L be a filiform. Then proposition 2.9. implies that there are two classes of filiform Leibniz algebras. In our case they are:

$$\nabla(\alpha, \beta) : [e_1, e_1] = e_3, [e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_4, [e_2, e_1] = e_3, [e_2, e_2] = \beta e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4;$$

and

$$\Omega(\alpha, \beta) : [e_1, e_1] = e_3, [e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_4, [e_2, e_2] = \beta e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4.$$

Let us consider the case of the algebras $\nabla(\alpha, \beta)$.

Case 1.1: $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Then the following change of the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ reduces $\nabla(\alpha, \beta)$ to $\nabla(1, 0)$:

$$e'_1 = \alpha e_1, e'_2 = \alpha e_2, e'_3 = \alpha^2 e_3, e'_4 = \alpha^3 e_4.$$

Thus $\nabla(1, 0)$ coincides with $\mathfrak{R}_2$ in our list.

Case 1.2: $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$. Then $\nabla(0, 0)$ is the $\mathfrak{R}_3$.

Case 2: $\beta \neq 0$. In this case by changing the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ in the following way:

$$e'_1 = \beta e_1, e'_2 = \beta e_2, e'_3 = \beta^2 e_3, e'_4 = \beta^3 e_4$$

the above algebra $\nabla(\alpha, \beta)$ can be reduced to the type $\nabla(\alpha, 1)$.

Thus we have the following table for the algebras $\nabla(\alpha, 1)$:

$$[e_1, e_1] = e_3, [e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_4, [e_2, e_1] = e_3, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4.$$

Let now pick out the isomorphic classes within this family of algebras. It is easy to see if we take the following possible changing of the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}e'_1 &= ae_1 + be_2, e'_2 = (a + b)e_2 + b(\alpha - 1)e_3, \\ e'_3 &= a(a + b)e_3 + b(a\alpha + b)e_4, e'_4 = a^2(a + b)e_4\end{aligned}$$

then for the parameters α, α', a, b we get the following equalities $\alpha' = \frac{a\alpha + b}{a^2}$ and $b = a^2 - a$. It follows that at $\alpha = 1$ we obtain $\alpha' = 1$. But at $\alpha \neq 1$ putting $a = 1 - \alpha$ we get $\alpha' = 0$. Therefore up to isomorphism there are only two algebras:

$$\mathfrak{R}_4(1) : [e_1, e_1] = e_3, [e_2, e_1] = e_3, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4, [e_1, e_2] = e_4;$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_4(0) : [e_1, e_1] = e_3, [e_2, e_1] = e_3, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4.$$

We note that since the dimensions of maximal abelian subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{R}_2$ and $\mathfrak{R}_4(\alpha)$ are different and therefore $\mathfrak{R}_2$ never is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathfrak{R}_4(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$. The algebra $\mathfrak{R}_3$ is not isomorphic to the algebras $\mathfrak{R}_2$ and $\mathfrak{R}_4(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$, because of the difference in dimensions of the right annihilators.

Now let us consider the class $\Omega(\alpha, \beta)$. There are two possible cases.

Case 1: $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Then the transformation

$$e'_1 = e_1, e'_2 = \alpha^{-1}e_2, e'_3 = e_3, e'_4 = e_4$$

leads to $\Omega(1, 0)$ which coincides with $\mathfrak{R}_5$.

Case 2: $\beta \neq 0$. It is easy to see in this case the transformation

$$e'_1 = \beta e_1, e'_2 = \beta e_2, e'_3 = \beta^2 e_3, e'_4 = \beta^3 e_4$$

leads to the type

$$\Omega(\alpha, 1) : [e_1, e_1] = e_3, [e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4.$$

We consider the following possible changing for basis within this class:

$$\begin{aligned} e'_1 &= ae_1 + be_2, & e'_2 &= ce_2 - abc^{-1}e_3, \\ e'_3 &= a^2e_3 + b(a\alpha + b)e_4, & e'_4 &= a^3e_4. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see again after some calculations that for different parameters α and α' we will get the equalities: $\alpha' = \frac{c(a\alpha+b)}{a^3}$ and $c^2 = a^3$ therefore taking $b = -a\alpha$ we have that $\alpha = 0$. Thus we obtain that the algebras $\Omega(\alpha, 1)$ are isomorphic to the algebra $\mathfrak{R}_6$ with the following table of multiplications:

$$[e_1, e_1] = e_3, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4.$$

It should be noted that the algebra $\Omega(0, 0)$ is split.

It is easy to see that the algebra $\mathfrak{R}_5$ is not isomorphic to the algebra $\mathfrak{R}_6$, because of they have different dimensions of left annihilators.

Now we will suppose that our algebra L has the type iii) in proposition 3.1, that is to say that L is a Leibniz algebra with $\chi(L) := (4, 2, 0, 0)$ or $(4, 1, 0, 0)$, in particular L is associative. Then all results on associative algebras are applicable to our case and henceforth we deal with associative algebras under corresponding conditions on L .

We consider the associative algebra $A = L \oplus C$. It is 5-dimensional and unital. Due to G.Mazzola's paper [10] we have got the classification of all associative algebras with unit in dimension five. Having the classification of G.Mazzola and taking into a number of central idempotents it is easy to see that our algebra A is not isomorphic to the algebras with numbers 1-15, 25, 26, 38, 39, 55 in the list of G.Mazzola. Using corollary 2.6 and the fact that under isomorphism any idempotent is mapped in to an idempotent it is easy to see that A is not isomorphic to the algebras 16-22, 27, 28, 29, 40, 41, 46, 47, 52, 58 in the list of G.Mazzola. Moreover, the condition $L^3 = 0$ implies that A is not isomorphic to the algebras 23, 24, 33, 34, 44 in the list of G.Mazzola. Then we will examine the others algebras in the list of G.Mazzola and separate suitable cases that give the required algebras.

N30. $A = C \langle x, y \rangle / (xy + yx, xy - yx + y^2 - x^2) + (x, y)^3$. Choose the basis of A : $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = xy, e_4 = x^2$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ with the following law gives the algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_7 : [e_1, e_1] = e_4, [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_1] = -e_3, [e_2, e_2] = -2e_3 + e_4.$$

N31. $A = C \langle x, y \rangle / (x^2, xy + y^2) + (x, y)^3$. Choose the basis of A : $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = xy, e_4 = yx$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ with the following law gives the corresponding algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_8 : [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_1] = e_4, [e_2, e_2] = -e_3.$$

N32. $A = C \langle x, y \rangle / (xy + y^2, \alpha x^2 + yx) + (x, y)^3$. Choose the basis of A : $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = x^2, e_4 = xy$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ with the following law gives the algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_9(\alpha) : [e_1, e_1] = e_3, [e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -\alpha e_3, [e_2, e_2] = -e_4.$$

Note that by proposition 2.7 for different α_1 and α_2 the algebras $\mathfrak{R}_9(\alpha_1)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_9(\alpha_2)$ are not isomorphic.

N35. $A = C \langle x, y, z \rangle / (xz, yz, zx, zy, x^2 - y^2, x^2 - z^2, xy + yx, \alpha x^2 + yx)$, where $(\alpha \neq 0)$. Choose the basis of A : $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = z, e_4 = x^2$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ with the following law gives the corresponding algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{10}(\alpha) : [e_1, e_1] = e_4, [e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -\alpha e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_3] = e_4.$$

We remark that the algebras $\mathfrak{R}_{10}(\alpha_1)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{10}(\alpha_2)$ ($\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$) are not isomorphic except for the case where $\alpha_2 = -\alpha_1$, in which case they are indeed isomorphic [10].

N36. $A = C \langle x, y, z \rangle / (x^2, yz, zy, z^2, xy - xz, xy + yx, yx + y^2, yx + zx)$. As a basis here we take $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = z, e_4 = xy$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ with the following law gives the corresponding algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{11} : [e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_1] = e_4.$$

N37. $A = C \langle x, y, z \rangle / (xz, y^2, yz, zx, zy, x^2 - z^2, x^2 - xy, x^2 + yx)$. As a basis we take $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = z, e_4 = xy$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ with the following law gives the algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{12} : [e_1, e_1] = e_4, [e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -e_4, [e_3, e_3] = e_4.$$

N42. $A = C \langle x, y \rangle / (x^2, y^2) + (x, y)^3$. As a basis we take $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = xy, e_4 = yx$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ gives the algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{13} : [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_1] = e_4.$$

N43. $A = C[x, y] / (y^3, xy, x^3)$. As a basis we take $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = x^2, e_4 = y^2$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ gives the algebra:

$$[e_1, e_1] = e_3, [e_2, e_2] = e_4.$$

It is obviously that this algebra is decomposable.

N48. $A = C \langle x, y \rangle / (x^2, xy + yx) + (x, y)^3$. As a basis we take $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = xy, e_4 = y^2$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ coincides with the algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{14} : [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_1] = -e_3, [e_2, e_2] = e_4.$$

N49($\alpha = 1$). $A = C \langle x, y \rangle / (x^2, xy) + (x, y)^3$. As a basis we take $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = y^2, e_4 = yx$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ gives the algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{15} : [e_2, e_1] = e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_3.$$

N49($\alpha \neq 1$). $A = C \langle x, y \rangle / (x^2, (1 + \alpha)xy + (1 - \alpha)yx) + (x, y)^3$. As a basis we take $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = y^2, e_4 = xy$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ with the following law gives the corresponding algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{16}(\alpha) : [e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_2, e_1] = \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{(1 - \alpha)} e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_3.$$

Note that again by proposition 2.7 for different values of α we obtain non isomorphic algebras.

N50. $A = C \langle x, y, z \rangle / (x^2, xz, y^2, yz, zx, zy, xy + yx, yx + z^2)$. As a basis we take $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = z, e_4 = xy$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ gives the algebra:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{17} : [e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_2, e_1] = -e_4, [e_3, e_3] = e_4.$$

N51. $A = C \langle x, y, z \rangle / (xz, yz, zx, zy, x^2 - y^2, x^2 - z^2, xy, yx)$. As a basis we take $e_0 = 1, e_1 = x, e_2 = y, e_3 = z, e_4 = x^2$. Then the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ has the following table:

$$[e_1, e_1] = e_4, [e_2, e_2] = e_4, [e_3, e_3] = e_4.$$

But this algebra can be included in to the list of algebras $\mathfrak{R}_{10}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = 0$.

Using proposition 2.7 it is easy to check that all obtained algebras are pairwise not isomorphic. $\square$

Remark 3.3. All other algebras from the G.Mazzola's list are either Lie algebras or split Leibniz algebras.

It should be noted that unlike the case of Lie algebras, where in dimension four there is only one non split algebra, we have got a lot of Leibniz algebras in dimension four.

Summing the classification of the above theorem and the classifications of complex nilpotent Lie algebras dimensions less than five and complex nilpotent Leibniz algebras of dimensions less than four, we obtain the complete classification of complex nilpotent Leibniz algebras dimension less than five.

Acknowledgment. *The authors would like to convey their sincere thanks to prof. Sh.A. Ayupov for his comprehensive assistance and support in the completion of this paper. The second and third named authors would like to acknowledge the hospitality to the "Institut für Angewandte Mathematik", Universität Bonn (Germany). This work is supported in part by the DFG 436 USB 113/4 project (Germany) and the Fundamental Science Foundation of Uzbekistan.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Albeverio S., Ayupov. Sh.A., Omirov B.A. *On nilpotent and simple Leibniz algebras*. Comm. in Algebra, v. 33(1), 2005, p. 159-172.
- [2] Albeverio S., Omirov B.A., Rakhimov I.S. *Varieties of nilpotent complex Leibniz algebras of dimension less than five*. Comm. in Algebra, v. 33(5), 2005, p. 1575-1585.
- [3] Ayupov Sh.A., Omirov B.A. *On Leibniz algebras*. Algebra and operators theory, Proceeding of the Colloquium in Tashkent 1997. Kluwer Academic Publishers 1998, p. 1-13.
- [4] Ayupov Sh.A., Omirov B.A. *On some classes of nilpotent Leibniz algebras*. Siberian Math. Journal, v.42(1), 2001, p. 18-29.
- [5] Casas J.M., Pirashvili T. *Ten-term exact sequence of Leibniz homology*. J. Algebra, v. 231, 2000, p. 258-264.
- [6] Frabetti A. *Leibniz homology of dialgebras of matrices*, Journ. Pure Appl. Alg., v. 129, 1998, p. 123-141.
- [7] Goze M., Khakimjanov Yu. *Nilpotent Lie Algebras*. Kluwer Academic publishers, Dordrecht, 1996, 336 p.
- [8] Loday J.-L. *Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz*, Ens. Math., v. 39, 1993, p. 269-293.
- [9] Loday J.-L., Frabetti A., Chapoton F., Goichot F. *Dialgebras and Related Operads*, Lect. Notes in Math., v. 1763 2001, 133 p.

- [10] Mazzola G. *The algebraic and geometric classification of associative algebras of dimension five*. Manuscripta math. 27, 1979, p. 1-21.
- [11] Pirashvili T. *On Leibniz homology*, Ann.Inst. Fourier, v. 44(2), 1994, p. 401-411.
- [12] Sagle A.A. *Mal'tzev algebras*. Trans.Amer.Math.Soc., v. 101(3), 1961, p. 426-458.

[S.ALBEVERIO] INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, WEGELESTR.6,
D-53115 BONN (GERMANY).

[B.A. OMIROV] INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF ACADEMY OF UZBEKISTAN. F.KHODJAEV STR,
29, 700125, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN
E-mail address: `omirovb@mail.ru`

[I.S.RAKHIMOV] UNIVERSITY PUTRA MALAYSIA, 43400 UPM SERDANG, SELANGOR (MALAYSIA)
E-mail address: `risamiddin@mail.ru`, `isamiddin@science.upm.edu.my`